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Abstract
This paper addresses the issue of allomorphy of the anticausative prefix /n-/ in light of the verbal left-edge in Taqbaylit. This prefix is in fact an independent morpheme in Taqbaylit although it has been considered in the Berber literature to be an allomorph of /m-/ when combined with a stem containing a labial consonant (Bensoukas, 2014; Boukous, 2009; Chaker, 1995; Elmedloui, 2012; Idrissi, 2001; Kossmann, 2007; a.o.). The aim is to study the underlying representation and the surface allomorphy of this prefix, which has two phonetic realizations: a simplex [n] and a geminate [nn]. Indeed, it is realized as a geminate in _CC contexts and as a simplex in _CV contexts. However, when it is combined with the causative prefix /s-/ it surfaces only as a simplex regardless of its right-context. I will analyze the status of this prefix within Strict CV phonology (Lowenstamm, 1996, 1999; Scheer, 2004) and show that (i) /n-/ is a simplex floating morpheme and (ii) its surface form depends on both Licensing and a templatic property of the left-edge of the verb. This implies, as it will be shown, that the verbal left-edge in Taqbaylit is composed of two CV units, each hosting its own morphemes.
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1. Introduction

The literature dealing with Berber languages in general and Taqbaylit (Kabyle) in particular, traditionally distinguishes three derivational prefixes in verbs: the causative /s-/ , the reciprocal /m-/ and the passive /t wa-/ . Bedar (2022) has recently argued for the existence of a fourth morpheme, the prefix /n-/ , which marks the anticausative and reflexive voices. This prefix did not receive much attention in the literature because it was considered either (i) less productive (Chaker, 1995) or (ii) an allomorph of /m-/ when combined with a stem containing a labial consonant (Bensoukas, 2014; Boukous, 2009; Chaker, 1995; Elmedlaoui, 2012; Idrissi, 2001; Kossmann, 2007; Lahrouchi, 2018; a.o.). In this paper, I will start by showing that this prefix is in fact an independent morpheme in Taqbaylit. I will then discuss the issue of its quantitative allomorphy, illustrated below.

The data in (1) illustrate the two phonetic realizations of the prefix /n-/ depending on its right-context: it is realized as a geminate in a _CC context (1.i) and as a simplex in a _CV context (1.ii).

(1) | Verb | Gloss | n-verb | Gloss |
--- | --- | --- | --- |
1. i. _CC | ʒ βəð | ‘pull’ | nnə-ʒ βəð | ‘stretch oneself’ |
 | ʒlu | ‘disappear’ | nnə-ʒli | ‘self-exile’ |
ii. _CV | ħiz | ‘put aside’ | n-haz | ‘put oneself aside’ |
 | zaļjaw | ‘be blue’ | n-zaļjaw | ‘become blue’ |

However, when this prefix is combined with the causative /s-/ , it is always realized as a simplex, even in the context where it is otherwise geminated, as shown in (2).

(2) | Verb | n-verb | s-n-verb | Gloss s-n-verb |
--- | --- | --- | --- |
_ CC | ʒ βəð | nnə-ʒ βəð | s-nə-ʒ βəð | ‘make stretch oneself’ |
 | ʒlu | nnə-ʒli | s-nə-ʒli | ‘push to self-exile’ |

In this paper, I will offer an analysis that establishes the phonological representation of the prefix /n-/ and explains the factors that govern its allomorphy.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 establishes the independence of the morpheme /n-/ in Taqbaylit by arguing against the labial dissimilation hypothesis. Section 3 provides an overview of the theoretical framework: Strict CV Phonology and some principles of Government Phonology (Lowenstamm, 1996, 1999; Scheer, 2004). Section 4 summarizes some previous analyses and shows their limits when it comes to accounting for Taqbaylit data. Section 5 provides an analysis of the underlying...
representation of the anticausative marker /n-/ and the nature of the verbal left-edge. Section 6 highlights in more detail the positions of the anticausative /n-/ and the reciprocal /m-/ in the template, precisely at the left-edge. Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. /n-/ as an independent morpheme in Taqbaylit

In this section, I argue against the labial dissimilation hypothesis in Taqbaylit and show that /n-/ is an independent morpheme. Indeed, this prefix has been previously considered as the result of the dissimilation of the morpheme /m-/ when combined with a stem containing a labial consonant (cf., Bensoukas, 2004, 2014; Boukous, 2009; Chaker, 1995; Elmediaoui, 2012; Idrissi, 2001; Koosmann, 2007; Lahrouchi, 2003, 2018; a.o.). This dissimilation applies only in the presence of the three labial consonants given in (3).

(3) /m/ → [n] / ___C_{+[labial]}... C_{+[labial]} = [f, β, m]

I will show that the hypothesis given in (3) does not apply in Taqbaylit although it is valid for some other Berber languages like Tashlhit (Bensoukas, 2014, Lahrouchi, 2018); Figuig Berber (Kossmann, 2007), Tamazight (Idrissi, 2001) and Tarīfīt (Mourigh & Kossmann, 2019). This point has already been mentioned by Elmediaoui (2012) but without further details. I will provide the following evidence against this hypothesis: (i) the existence of m-verbs containing a labial consonant without dissimilating into [n-]; (ii) the existence of n-verbs that do not contain a labial consonant; (iii) the existence of minimal pairs of verbs with the prefixes /n-/ and /m-; and (iv) the existence of verbs prefixed by /n-/ and /m-/ having different stems.

2.1 /m-/ is compatible with verbs containing labial consonants

While the dissimilation hypothesis states that the prefix /m-/ dissimilates into [n-] when it combines with a stem containing a labial consonant, the data in (4) show that this does not apply to Taqbaylit. This is because whatever the place of the labial consonant in the stem (C1 in (i), C2 in (ii), C3 in (iii)), there is no dissimilation of the reciprocal prefix /m-/ into [n-].

(4) Verb | Gloss | m-verb | Gloss
---|---|---|---
i. βudd | ‘vow’ | m-βudd | ‘vow to each other’
fakk | ‘finish’ | m-fakk | ‘finish each other’
ii. gaβər | ‘look out for’ | m-gaβər | ‘look out for each other’
sif | ‘sieve’ | m-sif | ‘sieve each other’
cu:mm | ‘gag’ | m-cu:mm | ‘gag each other’
iii. ḥasāḇ ‘judge’ m-ḥasāḇ ‘judge each other’
ʃənnaʃ ‘pout’ m-ʃənnaʃ ‘pout at each other’
ʃəkkəm ‘denounce’ m-ʃəkkəm ‘denounce each other’

Moreover, when compared with the Tashlhit verbs which present a case of labial dissimilation (see (5) borrowed from Bensoukas (2014, p. 68)), we note that in these same verbs in Taqbaylit, the prefix /m-/ does not surface as [n-].

(5) Verb Tashlhit Taqbaylit Gloss m-verb
/hubbu/ ʃənnaʃ m-hubb ‘love each other’
/sllm/ sənllam m-salam ‘greet each other’
/xlf/ sənkəf m-xalaf ‘change each other’
/fts/ ʃən fattas mjə-θəas ‘chop each other’

2.2 /n-/ is compatible with verbs without labial consonants

The data given in (6) show that /n-/ can combine with stems which do not contain any labial consonant. This is a second argument that refutes the labial dissimilation hypothesis of /m-/ into [n-].

(6) Verb Gloss n-verb Gloss
qʃəʕ ‘throw away’ ʃəʕ m-nqʃəʕ ‘throw oneself away’
ʃəə ‘be happy’ ʃəə m-nʃəə ‘become happy’
ʒli ‘disappear’ ʒli m-ŋʒli ‘self-exile’
rnu ‘add’ rnu m-ŋrnu ‘grow’
hawwal ‘agitate’ m-hawwal ‘become excited’
δəqqər ‘throw’ δəqqər m-nδəqqər ‘throw oneself’
six ‘collapse’ səx m-sax ‘(become) collapsed’
ʒiħ ‘debauch’ m-ʒiħ m-nʒiħ ‘debauch oneself’

2.3 Minimal pairs of verbs with /n-/ and /m-/

The two arguments developed above show that there is no conditioning related to the nature of root segments and that the prefixes /n-/ and /m-/ are not in complementary distribution. Therefore, one expects to find minimal pairs of verbs that can combine both with the reciprocal /m-/ and the anticausative/reflexive /n-/. Some pairs of these verbs are given in (7).

(7) Verb m-verb n-verb
ʒəδ ‘pull’ m-ʒəδ m-nʒəδ ‘stretch oneself’
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rnu \(\text{mjæ-rnu}\) \(\text{nnə-rni}\)
‘add’ ‘add each other’ ‘grow up’
zōi \(\text{mjæ-zōi}\) \(\text{nnə-zōi}\)
‘link’ ‘link each other’ ‘link oneself to’
βəddal \(\text{m-βəddal}\) \(\text{n-βəddal}\)
‘change’ ‘exchange’ ‘change oneself’
huzz \(\text{m-huzz}\) \(\text{n-həzz}\)
‘shake’ ‘shake each other’ ‘shake oneself’
hiz \(\text{m-hiz}\) \(\text{n-haz}\)
‘put aside’ ‘put aside each other’ ‘put aside oneself’

The sentences in (8) and (9), involving the same verb \(\text{βəddəl}\) ‘to change’, show that m-verbs and n-verbs have different syntactic behaviors. In (8), the reciprocal m-verb is compatible with a prepositional phrase \(\delta \text{jəmdʌk}^*\text{al-ənsən}\) ‘with their friends’ (8.i), while the anticausative n-verb is not (8.ii).

(8) i. \(\text{m-βəddal-ən}\) \(\text{imuçan=ənsən}\)
\(\text{REC-change.PFV-3M.P}\) \(\text{FS.seats=POSS.3M.P}\) \(\delta \text{jəmdʌk}^*\text{al-ənsən}\)
with \(\text{CS.friends=POSS.3M.P}\)
‘They exchanged their seats with their friends.’

ii. \(*\text{n-βəddal-ən}\) \(\text{imuçan=ənsən}\)
\(\text{ANTC-change.PFV-3M.P}\) \(\text{FS.seats=POSS.3M.P}\) \(\delta \text{jəmdʌk}^*\text{al-ənsən}\)
with \(\text{CS.friends=POSS.3M.P}\)

In (9), without a prepositional phrase, only the construction with n-verb is grammatical.

(9) i. \(\text{n-βəddal-ən}\) \(\text{wuðməwən=ənsən}\)
\(\text{ANTC-change.PFV-3M.P}\) \(\text{CS.faces=POSS.3M.P}\)
‘Their faces were transformed.’

ii. \(*\text{m-βəddal-ən}\) \(\text{wuðməwən=ənsən}\)
\(\text{REC-change.PFV-3M.P}\) \(\text{CS.faces=POSS.3M.P}\)

---

1 The data are from Taqbaylit of Chemini, and are transcribed with IPA characters. Abbreviations: ‘-’: affix boundary; ‘=': clitic boundary; 3: person; \(\text{ANTC}\): anticausative; \(\text{CS}\): construct state; \(\text{DEM}\): demonstrative; \(\text{FS}\): free state; \(\text{M}\): masculine; \(\text{PFV}\): perfective; \(\text{P}\): plural; \(\text{POSS}\): possessive; \(\text{REC}\): reciprocal.
The example in (10.i) shows another property of m-verbs: their compatibility with a direct object. N-verbs, on the other hand, do not have this property, as they are always intransitive, as shown in (10.ii).

(10)  

i.  \text{mjæ-ʒβað-ən}  \text{jærgazn=ənni}  \text{ifassn=ənsəṃ}  
\text{REC-pull.PFV-3M.P}  \text{CS.men=DEM}  \text{FS.hands=POSS.3M.P}  
‘The men pulled each other’s hands.’

ii.  \text{nnæ-ʒβað-ən}  \text{jærgazn=ənni}  \text{*ifassn=ənsəṃ}  
\text{ANTC-pull.PFV-3M.P}  \text{CS.men=DEM}  \text{FS.hands=POSS.3M.P}  
‘The men stretched (individually).’  \text{*their hands}

All these facts show that n-verbs are different from m-verbs. This means that /m-/ and /n-/ are not allomorphs but distinct morphemes.

2.4 Same root but different stems

The data in (11) show pairs of n-verbs and m-verbs that are derived from the same roots but have different stems. This constitutes a fourth argument supporting the statement that /n-/ and /m-/ are different morphemes.

(11)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>m-verb</th>
<th>n-verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hiz</td>
<td>m-hiz</td>
<td>n-haz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḏuṛṛ</td>
<td>m-ḍuṛṛ</td>
<td>n-ṭəṛṛ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hudd</td>
<td>m-hudd</td>
<td>n-hədd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huzz</td>
<td>m-huzz</td>
<td>n-həzz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>afaj</td>
<td>mj-ufaj</td>
<td>n-fufaj</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘put aside each other’  ‘put oneself aside’
‘harm each other’  ‘suffer’
‘destroy each other’  ‘destroy oneself’
‘shake each other up’  ‘shake oneself’
‘fly to each other’  ‘propagate’

The four arguments developed in this section show that the labial dissimilation hypothesis does not apply to Taqbaylit. This means that the prefixes /m-/ and /n-/ are not allomorphs but independent morphemes. In what follows, I will provide a detailed analysis of the phonological representation and the allomorphy of /n-/, but first I will sketch the theoretical framework that I adopt for my analysis. (For a detailed description of the syntactic and semantic properties of n-verbs, see Bedar (2022) and Bedar, Bendjaballah & Haiden (to appear)).
3. Theoretical framework

My analysis is couched in the CVCV framework, also called ‘Strict CV Phonology’, first proposed by Lowenstamm (1996) and further developed by Scheer (2004). After an outline of this theoretical framework, I will give an overview of two modules developed within this framework: the CV Initial hypothesis (Lowenstamm, 1999) and the vocalic length in Taqbaylit (Bendjaballah, 2004).

3.1 Strict CV Phonology

Strict CV Phonology was initially developed by Lowenstamm (1996) by extending the Standard Government Phonology (Kaye, Lowenstamm & Vergnaud, 1985, 1990). In this framework, the syllabic structure of constituents is represented at two levels: (i) the skeletal position level, which consists of a strict sequence of CV units; and (ii) the segment level. The segments are linked to skeletal positions by association lines: consonants to C-positions and vowels to V-positions.


(12) a. Closed syllable  
C V C V 
\[ b \quad a \quad r \] [bar]

b. Geminated-C  
C V C V 
\[ b \] [bb]

c. Long vowel  
C V C V 
\[ i : \] [i:]

d. Final coda  
C V 
\[ b \quad # \] [...]b#

Proper government (PG) and Empty Category Principle (ECP) regulate the phonetic realization of a V-position (Kaye, Lowenstamm & Vergnaud, 1985, 1990).
(13) Proper government (PG):

i) Given two vocalic positions V1 and V2, V2 governs V1 if and only if:
- V1 and V2 are two adjacent positions,
- V2 is located to the right of V1,
- V2 is phonetically interpreted while V1 is an empty position.

ii) A position V which is properly governed cannot in turn govern.

(14) Empty Categories Principle (ECP):

An empty V position that is properly governed must remain phonetically non-interpreted and a V position that escapes PG must be phonetically interpreted.

3.2 Initial CV Hypothesis

The hypothesis about the existence of an abstract morpheme without segmental content at the left-edge of Berber verb was first proposed by Guerssel (1992). Lowenstamm (1996) suggested that this morpheme is universal and located at the left-edge of major categories (noun, adjective and verb). The phonological, morphological, and prosodic operations that take place at the left-edge of the word target this empty morpheme. This latter is represented at the left-edge of the word by an empty CV unit substituting /#/ used in standard notations of Generative Phonology to mark the boundaries of the word, as illustrated in (15).

(15) a. Generative Phonology  
\[ \#x\delta\emptysetm\]  

b. Strict CV Phonology  
\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
C & V & C & V & C & V \\
\hline
x & \delta & \emptyset & m
\end{array} \]

3.3 Vocalic length in Taqbaylit

According to Bendjaballah (2004), Taqbaylit vowels are phonologically long although they are phonetically realized as short. This means that phonological length is interpreted as phonetic quality, and not quantity (Lowenstamm, 1991; Hammond, 1997). In this sense, vocalic elements need two V-positions to surface as vowels (16.a-c). If they have access to only one V-position, this position remains empty or realized as schwa (16.d).
4. Previous analyses

The allomorphy of derivational prefixes has received several analyses within different theoretical frameworks (Bendjaballah, 2007; Dell & Elmedlaoui, 2002; Guerssel, 1992; Jebbour, 1999; Lahrouchi, 2018, and references therein). I will focus here on two previous analyses couched within the autosegmental phonology framework: Guerssel (1992) based on Ait Seghrouchen Tamazight and Lahrouchi (2018) based on Tashlhit. I first show that these two analyses cannot account for the Taqbaylit data (including n-verbs) and then provide an alternative analysis that can account for the allomorphy of /n-/ and other derivational morphemes.

In (17), I contrast the two analyses. In Guerssel’s analysis in (17.a), the causative prefix /s-/ is floating, and the representation involves an ‘abstract morpheme’ (bold in (17.a)) that is consistently at the left-edge of a simple or derived form. On the other hand, in Lahrouchi’s analysis in (17.b), the causative prefix /s-/ is associated with its own skeletal material, and the abstract morpheme, which is a CV unit, is always present at the left-edge of the stem (bold in 17.b).

(17) a. Guerssel (1992)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ s + m i l \emptyset \\
| | | | \\
\hline
x x x x x x x \\
| | | | \\
[O R] O R O R
\end{array}
\]
I summarize the analysis of Guerssel (1992) in subsection 4.1, then the one of Lahrouchi (2018) in subsection 4.2. I conclude by confronting the two analyses with Taqbaylit data in subsection 4.3.

### 4.1 Guerssel’s analysis (1992)

Guerssel’s analysis thus involves an abstract morpheme at the left periphery of the derived form. To derive the causative form, two operations are necessary. First, the syllabification of the causative prefix (18.a), then its propagation on the abstract morpheme (18.b). The generated form contains a geminate prefix [ss-].

\[(18)\]

a. Syllabification

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{s} & \emptyset & \text{m} & \text{i} & \text{l} & \emptyset \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
\text{O} & \text{R} & \text{O} & \text{R} & \text{O} & \text{R} \\
[\text{ssmil}]
\end{array}
\]

b. Propagation on the abstract morpheme

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{s} & \emptyset & \text{m} & \text{i} & \text{l} & \emptyset \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
\text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} & \text{x} \\
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
[\text{O} & \text{R}] & \text{O} & \text{R} & \text{O} & \text{R} & \text{O} & \text{R} \\
[\text{ssmil}]
\end{array}
\]

The same mechanism is involved in the derivation of forms with two prefixes, as illustrated by the data in (19) in which the first prefix is always geminated.
Combination of /s-/ and /m-/ in Ait Seghrouchen Tamazight ²

- **ss-m-wala** [ss’mwala] ‘cause to face each other’
- **ss-mya-adaf** [ss’myadaf] ‘cause to usher each other in’
- **ss-mm-ndeh** [ss’mmendah] ‘cause to collide with each other’

(Guerssel, 1992, p. 38)

Below, I will show that this analysis cannot account for Taqbaylit data because, in this language, when two morphemes combine, each surfaces as a simplex segment.

### 4.2 Lahrouchi’s analysis (2018)

In Lahrouchi’s (2018) analysis, a recent version of previous ones (2001, 2003), the causative /s-/ has two options: if the initial CV is licensed, the prefix /s-/ can leave its CV and associate with the initial CV, as shown in (20.a). It therefore surfaces as a simplex. If the initial CV is not licensed, it remains associated with its position, but can however propagate on the initial CV and surface as a geminate, as show in (20.b).

(20) Concatenation of /s-/ + √

**a. /s-/+ məd**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>məd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b. /s-/+ kʃəm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>kʃəm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When two derivational prefixes combine, as is the case in (21), the same mechanism of Licensing is at work. However, only the prefix that directly precedes the initial CV can geminate, as shown in the representation in (21.b).

---

² The transcription of Tamazight data has been adopted without modification.
(21) Combination of derivational prefixes (Lahrouchi 2018:13-14)

a. /s-/ + /m-/ + Yiwin

\[
\text{Lic} \quad \text{Lic} \quad \text{Lic}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\text{s} & \text{m} & \text{Yiwin} & > & \text{s} & \text{m} & \text{Yiwin} \\
\text{C} & \text{V} & + \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V}
\end{array}
\]

b. /s-/ + /m-/ + knu

\[
\text{Lic}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\text{s} & \text{m} & \text{knu} & > & \text{s} & \text{m} & \text{knu} \\
\text{C} & \text{V} & + \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V}
\end{array}
\]

It will be shown below that this analysis does not account for Taqbaylit data either.

4.3 Evaluating the analyses in light of Taqbaylit data

There are both formal and empirical arguments against the two analyses mentioned above. The arguments that I would like to bring are empirical in nature, but have implications for the theoretical aspects of the phonological representation of derivational morphemes and their allomorphy. These arguments are based on the comparison of the facts in the two languages on which the previous analyses were carried out with those of Taqbaylit, the subject of this study.

The data in (22) summarize the facts observed in the three languages:

- Regarding prefix combination, Taqbaylit is different from Tashlhit and Tamazight since the reciprocal systematically precedes the causative, as shown in (22.i).
- Regarding the surface realizations in _CV context (22.ii), one expects to have a geminate /s-/ in Tashlhit following proper government. This is however not the case.
- Regarding the surface realizations in _CC context (22.iii), the data from Tashlhit and Tamazight show that at least one of the prefixes has a geminate form. In Taqbaylit, however, the combination of any two prefixes blocks gemination, as also illustrated by the data in (23).
In this section, I showed that Guerssel and Lahrouchi’s analyses cannot account for the Taqbaylit data. In the next section, I will provide a novel analysis that can explain the pattern observed in this language.

5. Analysis

5.1 Proposal

To account for the surface allomorphy of the prefix /n-/ in Taqbaylit, I propose that:

1) The left-edge of the verb has two CV sites, as illustrated with the verb mil in (24.a);
2) /n-/ is a simplex and floating morpheme (24.b). Its CV position is closes to the stem, namely CV-I2;

(24) a. Two CV sites at the verbal left-edge  b. /n-/: simplex floating morpheme

3) If CV-I1 is licensed, it can be exploited by the propagation of /n-/.
5.2 Allomorphy of the prefix /n-/

The question that now arises is: if there are two CV sites at the left-edge of the stem, and if /n-/ is always on the same site (CV-I2), what governs its allomorphy in both forms in (25)? In other words, why is /n-/ geminated in (25.a) but remains simplex in (25.b) while the number of CV sites is the same?

(25) a. /n-/ + qsaʃ

b. /n-/ + sax

This question finds a simple answer in the principle of Licensing within the Strict CV framework. Indeed, if CV-I1 is licensed, it is identified by the propagation of /n-/ if it is not licensed, it is not identified.

To see how the analysis works, let us start with the form with a geminate /n-/ in (26). The prefix /n-/ is on CV-I2. The V position of CV-I2 is not properly governed and can therefore be realized. In doing so, it licenses CV-I1. The latter is exploited by the propagation of /n-. Therefore, the geminated form is obtained.
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(26)  
a. Association of /n/ to CV-I2

\[
\text{I1} \quad \text{Lic} \quad \text{I2} \\
\text{C V}_1 \quad \text{C V}_2 \\
\text{q s a f}
\]

b. Propagation of /n/

\[
\text{I1} \quad \text{Lic} \quad \text{I2} \\
\text{C V}_1 \quad \text{C V}_1 \\
\text{a q s a f}
\]

\[
\text{n}
\]

[nnǝ-qǝf]

(27) illustrates the second case where /n-/ remains simplex. The V position of CV-I2 is properly governed by the stem vowel. Therefore, it cannot be expressed, and it cannot license CV-I1 either. /n-/ is unable to identify C1 and cannot geminate.

(27)  
a. Association of /n/ to its position

\[
\text{I1} \quad \text{Lic} \quad \text{I2} \\
\text{C V C V} \\
\text{s a x}
\]

b. Non-propagation of /n-/ 

\[
\text{I1} \quad \text{Lic} \quad \text{I2} \\
\text{C V C V} \\
\text{s a x}
\]

[n-sax]
If the allomorphy of /n-/ is governed by the Licensing principle, then why would we need to introduce a templatic property that relies on the presence of two CV sites at the left-edge of the verb? The answer to this question will be discussed in the next subsection.

5.3 Why two CV sites at the left-edge of the verb?

The goal here is to show that the allomorphy of /n-/ is not only governed by the licensing principle, but also by a templatic constraint according to which there are only two CV sites for derivational morphemes at the left-edge of the verb. There are three arguments in favor of this hypothesis: (i) /n-/ and /s-/ both surface as simplex when combined; (ii) there is no place for the glide [j] when the reciprocal /m-/ is combined with the causative /s/-; and (iii) there is a distributional gap when one expects to have a simplex morpheme combined with a geminate.

5.3.1 Combination of /n-/ and /s-/ 

The first argument in favor of the two CV hypothesis comes from the combination of /n-/ with the causative /s-/. In this case, given in (28) and illustrated in (29), these two prefixes always surfaced as simplex when combined. In other words, there are only two available positions that the prefixes share.

(28) Combination of /n-/ with /s-/ 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>n-verb</th>
<th>s-n-verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>qsaf</td>
<td>nna-qsaf</td>
<td>s-na-qsaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘break’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rnu</td>
<td>nna-rni</td>
<td>s-na-rni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘add’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zlulaf</td>
<td>nna-zlulaf</td>
<td>s-na-zlulaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘be flamed’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * *
My hypothesis predicts that we will not find combinations of two morphemes with one being geminated. This is because there are only two CV sites available at the left-edge of the verb\(^3\). The ungrammatical forms given in (28), whose representations are given in (30), confirm this prediction.

\(^3\) See Bendjaballah & Haiden (2008) for a similar proposal on nouns. However, in Bendjaballah’s (2007, 2014), the verb is represented with a single initial CV.
Another argument in favor of the two CV sites hypothesis comes from the combination of the reciprocal /m-/ and the causative morpheme /s-/. When /m-/ occurs in a _CC or a _VC context, it requires glide [j] insertion, as illustrated in (31.a), where the glide occupies the C position of CV-I2. However, when this prefix combines with the causative morpheme /s-/, glide insertion is blocked. This follows from the hypothesis advocated here. Since there are only two CV sites available at the left periphery of the verb and the C position of CV-I2 is already occupied, there is no free position that could host the glide. This is illustrated in (31.b).

(31)  

5.3.3 Distributional gap

The distributional gap cases illustrated in (32) provide a third argument in favor of my hypothesis. When two prefixes combine with a verb beginning with a CV sequence, the Proper government rules predict gemination of the
first prefix, as illustrated in (32). However, the generated form is ungrammatical. Moreover, there is no verb that begins with a CV sequence that could be doubly prefixed, as shown in (33).

(32) Expected form with proper government

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
C & V_i & C & V & C & V & C & V \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\bar{o} & s & n & \bar{a} & x \\
\end{array}
\]

*[ssənsax]

(33) Verb n-verb s-n-verb Expected form

hiz n-haz * *ssə-n-haz
‘put aside’ ‘put aside oneself’
zağzw n-zağzw * *ssə-n-zəğzw
‘be blue’ ‘become blue’
ḍəqqəʔ n-ḍəqqəʔ * *ssə-n-ḍəqqəʔ
‘throw’ ‘throw oneself’

Based on these observations, I conclude that /n-/ and /m-/ is a floating morpheme that is phonologically simplex. Its surface allomorphy is governed by both Licensing and the number of CV sites at the left-edge of the stem, which must be two.

Another question that arises is: if derivational prefixes are phonologically simplex, why can /n-/ geminate while the reciprocal /m-/ cannot? Instead, the reciprocal requires glide insertion to its right. The answer to this question will be discussed in the next section.

6. The position of /n-/ and /m-/ in the template

6.1 Differences in allomorphy of /n-/ and /m-/

The different realizations of the two morphemes /n-/ and /m-/ are summarized in (34) and illustrated with the data in (35). In a CC context, /n-/ surfaces as a geminate while /m-/ is followed by an epenthetic glide [j]
(35.a). On the other hand, in a _CV context (35.b), both morphemes are simplex. Finally, in a _VC context (35.c), the prefix /n-/ triggers the reduplication of the first radical consonant, whereas /m-/ surfaces followed by a glide [j].

(34) /C₁C /C₁V /VC₁
i. /n/ ————> [nnə] [n] [nC₁]
ii. /m/ ————> [mjə] [m] [mj]

(35) a. CCaC VERB DERIVED VERB
   i. /n-/ ḵaʃaf 'break' nnə-ḥaʃaf 'be broken'
   ii. /m-/ ḵβað 'pull' *mna-ḥβað / mjə-ḥβað 'pull each other'

b. CVC(V) VERB DERIVED VERB
   i. /n-/ házi 'put aside' n-hazi 'put aside oneself'
   ii. /m-/ waļi 'see' m-wali 'see each other'

c. VCəC VERB DERIVED VERB
   i. /n-/ ḵafaj 'fly' *nn-ḥafaj / n-fuʃaj 'propagate'
   ii. /m-/ açər 'steal' mj-ḥaʃər / *m-ʧuʃər 'steal each other'

To account for these different behaviors of the two morphemes, I propose that each prefix has its own position in the verbal template: /m-/ is hosted on CV-I₁ and /n-/ is hosted on CV-I₂, as illustrated in (36) with the verb ḵβað 'pull'.

(36) a. Reciprocal /m-/ b. Anticausative /n-/
In the following subsections I will discuss the arguments in favor of this hypothesis. I begin with the position of the anticausative /n-/ and then turn to the position of the reciprocal /m-/.

6.2 The position of /n-/

I proposed in the previous section that /n-/ is hosted on CV-I2. I provide now the arguments that support this hypothesis.

The first argument is the degemination of /n-/ when it combines with the causative /s-/. Indeed, /n-/ can geminate if CV-I1 to its left is licensed (37.a). However, when this CV is occupied by the prefix /s-/, it cannot geminate (37.b). This means that its initial site cannot be CV-I1, but CV-I2.

(37) a. /n-/ + žβəð

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  \text{I1} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    C \\
    V \\
    V \\
    \text{n}
  \end{array} \\
  \end{array} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
  \text{I2} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    C \\
    V \\
    C \\
    V \\
    C \\
    V \\
    \text{ʒβəð}
  \end{array} \\
  \end{array}
\]

[nnaʒβəð]

b. /s/ + /n-/ + žβəð

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  \text{I1} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    C \\
    V \\
    \text{s}
  \end{array} \\
  \end{array} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
  \text{I2} \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    C \\
    V \\
    V \\
    C \\
    V \\
    C \\
    V \\
    \text{n} \\
    \text{ʒβəð}
  \end{array} \\
  \end{array}
\]

[snaʒβəð]

The second argument concerns the order of the two prefixes. Indeed, if there are two CV sites at the left-edge, the inversion of the two morphemes should be possible because they are both phonologically simplex. However, this is not the case. The data in (38) show that /s-/ systematically precedes /n-/.

This means that the position of /n-/ is always CV-I2.
Based on these observations, I conclude that the position of /n-verb/ is CV-I2, as illustrated below with the verb ʒβað ‘pull’.

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c}
\text{I1} & \text{I2} \\
\hline
\text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} & \text{C} & \text{V} \\
\hline
\text{n} & 3 & \beta & \varepsilon & \delta \\
\end{array}
$$

6.3 The position of /m-verb/

In this subsection, I will show that the position of the reciprocal /m-verb/ is CV-I1. The first argument in favor of this hypothesis is the suppression of the epenthetic glide [j] when this prefix is combined with the causative /s-verb/, as shown in the examples given in (40).
The glide [j] in (41.a) is an epenthetic segment that occupies an empty CV position inside the domain. Its absence in the presence of the causative /s-/ reveals its epenthetic status (41.b). This means that /m-/ is not closest to the stem and is hosted on CV-I1.

(41) a. m-verb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>I1</th>
<th></th>
<th>I2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[m-ʃə-xəm]  

b. m-s-verb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>I1</th>
<th></th>
<th>I2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[m-sə-xəm] / [sə-xəm]  

The second argument for a specific position (CV-I1) for /m-/ is the order in which it combines with the causative prefix /s-. If the two morphemes are phonologically simplex, they could in principle combine in any order. However, /m-/ cannot follow /s-, as illustrated by the examples in (42). This means that /m-/ is not close to the stem.

(42) Cont. Verb m-s-verb *s-m-verb Gloss Verb  
_CC xəm m-sə-xəm *s-mə-xəm ‘do, work’  
rnu m-sə-rnu *s-m-rnu ‘add’  
ʕluləq m-sə-ʕluluq *s-mə-ʕluluq ‘be hanged’  
_VC ali m-s-ali *s-m-ali ‘climb’  
açi m-s-açi *s-m-açi ‘wake up’  
argu m-s-argu *s-m-argu ‘dream’

Based on the two previous arguments, I conclude that the reciprocal prefix /m-/ has its own specific position, which is CV-I1, as illustrated in (43) with the verb ʃəə ‘pull’.
7. Conclusion

In this paper, I analyzed the allomorphy of the anticausative prefix /n-/ and showed that it is phonologically simplex, like two other derivational prefixes: the causative /s-/ and the reciprocal /m-. The phonetic realization of this floating morpheme /n-, in simplex or geminate version, is governed by both Licensing and the structure of the verbal left-edge, which contains two CV sites.

I also argued that the variation in allomorphy between the prefixes /n-/ and /m-/ results from the position of CV sites hosting these prefixes: /n-/ is hosted on the CV site closest to the stem, CV-I2, whereas /m-/ is hosted on the other site, CV-I1. This implies that the prefixation of segments to skeletal positions is not done in an arbitrary way. In a phonology-syntax interface approach where skeletal positions are syntactically identified, labeling the two initial CVs of the verbal left-edge in the syntax is a challenge for future research.
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